(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY) SOLOMON E. GRESEN [SBN: 164783] 1 CITY ATTORNEY JOSEPH M. LEVY [SBN: 230467] LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN 2011 JUN 30 AM 9: 24 15910 VENTURA BOULEVARD, SUITE 1610 3 ENCINO, CALIFORNIA 91436 TELEPHONE: (818) 815-2727 4 FACSIMILE: (818) 815-2737 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant Omar Rodriguez 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 10 OMAR RODRIGUEZ; CINDY GUILLEN-) CASE NO.: BC 414 602 11 GOMEZ; STEVE KARAGIOSIAN; ELFEGO RODRIGUEZ; AND JAMÁL CHILDS.) 12 PLAINTIFF AND CROSS- DEFENDANT Plaintiffs, 13 OMAR RODRIGUEZ'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT AND 14 CROSS-COMPLAINANT CITY OF -VS-BURBANK'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT: CITY OF) FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 15 BURBANK; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100,) INCLUSIVE. 16 Assigned to: Hon. Joanne B. O'Donnell, Judge Dept. 37 Defendants. 17 BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT; CITY OF 18 Complaint Filed: May 28, 2009 BURBANK. Trial Date: July 27, 2011 19 Cross-Complainants, 20 -VS-No convery. OMAR RODRIGUEZ, and Individual, 21 Cross- Defendant. 22 23 24 PROPOUNDING PARTY: DEFENDANT & CROSS-COMPLAINANT, CITY OF BURBANK RESPONDING PARTY: PLAINTIFF AND CROSS-DEFENDANT OMAR RODRIGUEZ 25 SET NUMBER: SUPPLEMENTAL 26 TO DEFENDANT & CROSS-COMPLAINANT, CITY OF BURBANK AND TO THEIR 27 28 ATTORNEY(S) OF RECORD: Plaintiff and Cross- Defendant Omar Rodriguez's Supplemental Responses to Defendant and

Cross-complainant City of Burbank's Supplemental Request for Production of Documents

Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant, Omar Rodriguez, hereby responds to Defendant, City of Burbank's Demand for Production, Supplemental as follows:

INTRODUCTION

It should be noted that responding party has not fully completed investigation of the facts relating to this matter, has not fully completed discovery in this action nor preparation for trial. Further discovery, independent investigation, legal research and analysis may expose additional facts which may lead to substantial changes in the responses herein set forth. Therefore, the following responses are given without prejudice to responding party's right to introduce evidence of any subsequently discovered facts contained herein which responding party may later obtain or discover. Responding party accordingly reserves the right to supplement the responses herein below as additional facts are ascertained, analyses are made, legal research is completed and contentions are further developed.

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS:

1.Please review all previous requests for production of DOCUMENTS propounded by Burbank on YOU in this action and YOUR responses thereto and supplement YOUR responses and production with any additional, non-privileged DOCUMENTS that are now in YOUR possession, custody or control and have not been previously produced by YOU.

RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS:

Objection. This discovery request seeks information concerning matters which are no longer part of the case following the Court's ruling on Summary Judgment dated June 16, 2011. Therefore, this demand is irrelevant, over broad, compound and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving the foregoing objections, the responding party has no new documents/information responsive to this request, limited to only those matters remaining in this case following entry of the Summary Judgment in this action.

Dated: June 27, 2011

LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN

Bv:

Solomon E. Gresen //
Attorneys For Plaintiffs Attorneys for Plaintiff and

Cross-Defendant Omar Rodriguez

PROOF OF SERVICE

2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

3 4

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of eighteen and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1610, Encino, California 91436.

5

On June 27, 2011, the foregoing document, described as PLAINTIFF AND CROSS-DEFENDANT OMAR RODRIĞUEŽ'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT CITY OF BURBANK'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS on the interested parties, through their respective attorneys of record in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

8

Veronica von Grabow

Robert Tyson, Esq.

Rtyson@bwslaw.com

Thomas G. Mackey, Esq. Jackson Lewis LLP

Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP

LAM@msk.com and vtv@msk.com

Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP

Los Angeles, California 90071

Los Angeles, California 90017

444 South Flower Street, Suite 2400

725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500

Email: mackeyt@jacksonlewis.com

11377 West Olympic Boulevard

Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Lawrence A. Michaels

Linda Miller Savitt, Esq. Ballard Rosenberg Golper & Savitt, LLP 500 North Brand Boulevard, Twentieth Floor Glendale, California 91203 lsavitt@brgslaw.com

Carol Ann Humiston Senior Assistant City Attorney Office of the City Attorney 275 East Olive Avenue, Burbank, California 91510-6459 chumiston@ci.burbank.ca.us

BY MAIL: By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as XXabove, and placing each for collection and mailing on that date following ordinary business practices. I am "readily familiar" with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. mail Postal Service in Los Angeles, California, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: Based on a court order or an XXagreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the e-mail address listed above. My electronic notification address is dj@rglawyers.com. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful

STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the XXabove is true and correct.

EXECUTED on June 27, 2011 at Encino, California.

Daphne Johnson